eco-e tech

Torus & ECOFEEDER

The ingenious solution for an environmentally friendly, versatile and yet economically viable scrap preheating

Roland V Müller - eco-e AG





Preface I

The climate crisis

If we continue to heat up the atmosphere, we will reap more droughts, storms, and floods.

Politicians have set targets for 2030 and 2050 that require each of us to make restrictions, adjustments, and rethink. Commitment, new ideas and solutions are required.

We have analyzed the situation, have reconsidered the recycling of scrap and found a new ground-breaking concept on how untreated scrap can be preheated in an environmentally friendly, versatile and yet economical way.

Scrap Preheating

We offer three individual Steps to best use the energy contained in the off gas and to reduce the green house gas (GHG) emission to the minimum:

- Step 1:



Preheating in the furnace

- Step 2:

- Step 3:

Preheating after the furnace



Use of remaining energy Example ORC

Traditional EAF



Total energy 720 kWh/t Profit 385 kWh/t Losses 335 kWh/t



Heat to the environment 305 kWh/t Untreated and unfiltered toxic output around the furnace

TORUS-EAF

Advantages: Less burners, less CO₂, lower electrode consumption, shorter melting time



5

Heat to the environment 290 kWh/t Untreated and unfiltered toxic output around the furnace

Scrap Preheating in the Furnace

Energy balance 680 kWh/t

Total energy 680 kWh/t

Profit 385 kWh/t

Losses 295 kWh/t

Scrap Preheating in the Furnace

Comparison



Convectional EAF



Preheating EAF (Torus)

Advantages:

Less burners, Less CO₂, Lower electrode consumption, Shorter melting time, Less weight on cover, Reliable off-gas measurement, Control of furnace atmosphere(ViU) Off gas evacuation while opening roof

720 kWh/t

335 kWh/t

Total energy

Losses

680 kWh/t 295 kWh/t

August 2022

eco-e tech

6

Traditional Scrap Preheating after the Furnace

Internal energy balance 788 kWh/t External energy balance 592 kWh/t Energy balance furnace 668 kWh/t Real energy input 597 kWh/t Profit 385 kWh/t Losses 292 kWh/t Energy recuperation 47%

Traditional single tank solution⁺)



August 2022

New Scrap Preheating after the Furnace

Internal energy balance 756 kWh/t

External energy balance 566 kWh/t

Energy balance furnace 680 kWh/t

Real energy input 477 kWh/t

Profit 461 kWh/t

Losses 105 kWh/t

Energy recuperation 49%

eco-e tech (two tank solution)



*) Pollutions and reactions are inherent to the scrap

Heat to the environment 65 kWh/t Treated and eliminated toxic output

August 2022

8

Comparison

	Shaft type	eco-e tech
	Shan type	
Transfer of the sensible heat of the off-gas to the scrap	1	✓
Integrated combustion of pollutions and reactions	*	~30 kWh/t _{Scrap}
Post-combustion in the scrap	*	~ 70 kWh/t _{LS}
Integrated burners to burn the combustion products (PCDD/F, VOC)	×	~ 45 kWh/t _{LS}
Off-gas analysis for burner control and container change	×	~
Application for special electrode tip cooling (CCU)	×	~
Better heat transmission due to low off-gas speed and large cross section change	×	~
Addition of heavy internal scrap to the furnace	×	~
Addition and preheating of slag builder	×	~
Real energy input [kWh/t]	597	477

Scrap preheating

ECO-E TECH

TORUS EAF

The scrap preheating inside the furnace

ECOFEEDER

The scrap preheating after the furnace

10

Torus EAF



2 2 3 4 4

Traditional EAF vs Torus EAF

Scrap preheating in the furnace

Electrode consumption Scrap preheating in the furnace Cold spots Off-gas measurement Slag door



Torus EAF (no cold spots)



The traditional melting and its cold areas



The horizontal melting without cold spots





ECOFEEDER E

The Torus EAF doesn't need a 4th hole and a slag door



Scrap preheating after the furnace

The container movement





The container movement

Scrap preheating after the furnace





Charging the scrap into the furnace

Scrap preheating after the furnace



While

Advantages and outstanding differences

Some important advantages and outstanding differences of the **eco-e tech** solution are:

- Possibility to open the roof for loading heavy scrap (return and internal), for maintenance, and for repair.
- Use of the furnace in both, scrap preheating and traditional mode (bypass mode)
- No mechanical elements near the furnace (no fingers, no pusher, no belt, and no vibration table).
- Unlimited use of residual energy and almost no dust waste.
- Storage of preheated scrap during interrupts, down-times, traditional mode, and stops.
- Reinforced refractory-concrete lined container assures more equal energy transfer. No on-board maintenance – relining!



The ECOFEEDER Family





The ECOFEEDER Adaptability





The ECOFEEDER Adaptability

Scrap charging by **existing** basket





The ECOFEEDER Adaptability

Container movement (no need for a scrap basket)



Conclusion Comparison and Benefits

- . We have seen the advantages of the Torus furnace.
- . The two-tank solution has convincing advantages such as **less** energy input (-120 kWh/t) and a far smaller environmental footprint (CO₂, heat to the environment, NOx).
- . The combination of the benefits: a <u>reliable furnace atmosphere</u> <u>control</u>, the <u>versatility in use</u>, and finally a <u>clean and almost dust-</u> <u>free off gas</u> which allows to benefit of its energy in various applications is unprecedented.
- The <u>flexibility</u> of the eco-e tech solution allows to use almost all scrap qualities **from light to heavy scrap**, thanks to the container shape and the possibility to open the furnace roof at any time.
 The <u>adaptability</u> of the eco-e tech solution allows to integrate into an existing steel plant without major modifications and building extensions, it usually fits with the actual combustion chamber



Typical heat profile of the off gas after scrap preheating

Internal scrap preheating



ECO-E TECH

Economic aspects

Torus EAF (Step 1)

Example: Short business plan

- Revamping of an AC-EAF
- Business objective: minimal exhaust emissions, minimal heat dissipation, minimal energy input
 - Interim goal: Energy input 40 kWh/t Electrode consumption (3.75gr/kWh) ~- 0.6 kg/t
 - Timeline: **Basic** engineering 6 weeks \geq Detail engineering 14 weeks Fabrication 24 weeks 10 28 weeks Pre-assembly & -comm. & transp. 4 Erection (prod. interruption) 2 30 weeks 2 32 weeks Commissioning 2 34 weeks Start of production
 - Cost **) Engineering 500,000 Euro EPC (Eng., Prod., Comm.) 1,200,000 Euro Turnkey 2,200,000 Euro
 - ✓ ROI after start-up / contract (savings 11.30€/t*)
 after start-up / contract 19 / 53 weeks

*) El. Energy@100€/MWh, NG@40€/MWh, Coal@120€/t, Electrodes@15€/kg, H₂@10€/MWh, O₂@0.1€/Sm³; prod. 10'000 t/week

**) Costs: The indicated figures are representing a mean value. We usually elaborate on offer with the real costs together with a feasibility study.

ECOFEEDER (Step 2)

Example: Short business plan

- Business objective: minimal exhaust emissions, minimal heat dissipation, minimal energy input
 - Interim goal: Energy input
 120 kWh/t
 Electrode consumption (3.75gr/kWh) ~- 0.3 kg/t
 - Timeline: **Basic** engineering 8 weeks \geq Detail engineering 10 18 weeks Fabrication 16 34 weeks Pre-assembly & -comm. & transp. 6 40 weeks Erection (prod. interruption) 2 42 weeks Commissioning 2 44 weeks Start of production 4 48 weeks
 - Cost**) Engineering 1,600,000 Euro EPC (Eng., Prod., Comm.) 5,000,000 Euro Turnkey 8,400,000 Euro
 - ROI after start-up / contract (savings 17.10€/t*) 49 / 97 weeks

*) El. Energy@100€/MWh, NG@40€/MWh, Coal@120€/t, Electrodes@15€/kg, H₂@10€/MWh, O₂@0.1€/Sm³; prod. 10'000 t/week

**) Costs: The indicated figures are representing a mean value. We usually elaborate on offer with the real costs together with a feasibility study.

 \checkmark

Break-even point and cost developments

Project investment and break-even point (Jan. 2021 basis)



Cost developments and break-even point (Jan. 2021 vs. Dec. 2021)



Conclusion ECO-E TECH and the benefit

Higher benefit due to:

- High energy recuperation:
 - High energy backflow
 - High energy efficiency
 - Less electrode consumption
- No uncontrolled energy loss:
 - Burn-off of pollutants and reactors
 - Less radiation and el. resistance losses
- Reliable off-gas control
 - Better utilisation of the off-gas energy
 - Lower GHG footprint
- Less operational costs
 - Less dust waste
 - Less maintenance
 - 'Use and refit' practice
- High versatility
 - Use of different raw material
 - wide range of scrap densities, light and heavy scrap

For the sake of the company ...

Conclusion ECO-E TECH and the Environment

Lower environmental impact due to:

- Less toxic and harmful emissions
 - No uncontrolled burn-off of pollutants and reactions
 - No post-combustion losses
- Less heat emissions
 - Better heat transmission
 - Lower off-gas speed
 - Two container system
- Less environmental waste
 - Less dust waste
 - Active CO₂ process use (CCU)
- Less GHG emissions
 - Lower need of fossil energy

For the sake of the environment ...

We are ready for 2030! And you?

- Interested?
- Order a feasibility study based on your plant and you will get the real data!
- Thank you for your attention!



